


Life Begins at Birth or First Breath


(Response to Ryan cont'd.) Was Richard fully human at 21 weeks simply because he had exited the birth canal? He was still completely dependent. Is a toddler or young child any less worthy of life than an adult just because it relies on its parents for survival? For something as irreplaceable as a human life an objective criteria - such as genetics - should determine humanity rather than an arbitrary measure like dependence.

WHEN DOES  BEGIN?

Anonymous
By definition LIFE: A system the involves eating-metabolizing-excretion-BREATHING-moving and capable of reproducing, none of these apply to a fetus. Exactly when life begins is up for debate.

Anonymous, you claimed that none of these apply to a fetus but that is not correct. The unborn are metabolizing nutrition from the mother via the placenta, excreting urine prior to birth, and certainly moving. You emphasized the word "breathing" but the definition did not state that all the attributes listed had to be present. Please note that humans are not capable of reproducing until puberty, but no one claims that a 9-year-old is not human.

Among scientists, when life begins is not up for debate. In fact, there is amazing consensus. In a landmark study in 2019 Steve Jacobs "emailed surveys to professors in the biology departments of over 1,000 institutions around the world." The results showed that the vast majority of biologists believe that life begins at conception. Jacobs wrote, "I found that 5,337 biologists (96%) affirmed that a human's life begins at fertilization, with 240 (4%) rejecting that view."


WHEN DOES  BEGIN?

Barry [REDACTED]
At first breath according to the Bible. It's a shame the evangelical right has perverted the Christian faith to forward a political agenda...

Barry... the Bible does not state life begins at first breath. Possibly your are misapplying Genesis 2:7 which describes the formation of Adam. In that first creation God formed Adam and breathed into him

the breath of life. However, after the formation of Eve, human beings are no longer formed from the dust. Now we each come into being through the act of sexual procreation. Therefore, scriptures referencing humans AFTER Adam and Eve provide many verses that point to personhood prior to birth or first breath.

Please read Psalm 139:13-16 and Jeremiah 1:4-5. Please further consider Luke 1:39-44, which describe the response of unborn John the Baptist when his mother, Elizabeth, encountered Mary - "the baby leaped in her womb". This scripture indicates a level of awareness - cognizance - by the unborn child in responding to the presence of the also unborn Christ...

WHEN DOES  BEGIN?

Sherry [REDACTED]
...life will not and cannot continue without birth and breath!
Until then, life is controlled by the LIVING HOST! HER LIFE MATTERS. SHE IS THE LIVING BREATHING BEING ALLOWED TO MAKER HER OWN DECISIONS JUST LIKE EVERY OTHER LIVING PERSON ON THE PLANET! God gave every person the right to make their own choices. You cannot be a true Christian if you believe otherwise.

Sherry, breath is not the determinant of personhood. What about people who are on life support? Because they are not breathing on their own is their personhood eliminated or diminished while they are getting the support they need? Once a new human being is formed at conception there are two unique human lives. We can and must love and support them both. We do not need to diminish one to support the other.

You speak about choice but what about the choice of the baby? Please view this brief two-minute video. It features real people speaking their truth of almost being the victims of "choice" via abortion. Please hear them. <https://facesofchoice.org/home/>

Regarding your reference to being a "true Christian", respectfully the Bible speaks clearly that love for all is the Lord's command, and we must care for the "least of these". Again, we as a society can and must love both mom and baby and protect both their rights.

Peter ██████████

...the fact remains that not every fetus becomes a human, some are miscarriages, some are stillborn, some are so genetically damaged that they don't survive. That's scientifically and biologically and every-other-ology true. What you didn't do was ask all those biologist - across various cultures - is what about all the fetuses that don't make it. You're asking the wrong question, the right question is when does that life become a human, and the answer is at birth. that's why we celebrate birthdays, not conception days. Only christians, and only very recently, have the view that you have a human at conception, and it wasn't their idea, it was the idea of wicked politicians that decided this was a way of conning religious people into voting for them...


Peter, just because some fetus's die at an early age before being born does not negate their core humanity. Would we say that a 1-year-old toddler who dies young was somehow less human than an individual who lives to be 100? The toddler certainly did not fulfill their "potential".

You state your own opinion that life begins at birth by stating that it is birthdays we celebrate - not conception dates. That is an incredibly weak argument. Most women don't know the date of conception. Also, we do celebrate babies' existence prior to birth. It is now the cultural norm that an ultrasound photo is the baby's first photo - passed along excitedly among family and friends. Gender reveal parties are hosted to again celebrate the baby and already make their existence a focal point of social gatherings.

Peter, the change toward recognizing conception as the beginning of each human life has been driven by emerging science - not politics and not religion. The field of genetics and the understanding of DNA exploded during the 80s and 90s. We now know - which we did not know when Roe was decided in 1973 - that at conception a unique human being is formed. Sex, hair color, eye color, even some personality traits, etc are all determined at that point. Nothing more genetically will be added. The beginning human being only needs time and nourishment.

That DNA - different from the mother and father - will identify that human being not simply as a member of the human species by as that UNIQUE, SPECIFIC human being from conception forward. The science is clear. The biologists are in agreement. It is the politics of the abortion industry that has duped people.

WHEN DOES  BEGIN?

Jennifer 
At birth, not a second until.

Jennifer, according to biologists, scientifically human life begins at conception. The fusion of the egg and sperm create a being with its own unique set of DNA — separate from that of its mother or father. This pre-born baby requires only time and nourishment to develop into a full-term baby. If birth is the demarcation for recognizing a human life, what about preemies? Preemies are now being saved as early as 22-23 weeks. On June 5, 2020 — Richard Hutchinson was born at just 21 weeks! Read about Richard's story here. <https://people.com/human-interest/worlds-most-premature-infant-given-0-chance-of-survival-is-now-1-our-miracle-baby/> Was Richard fully human at 21 weeks simply because he had exited the birth canal?